



Response to RFIs

#2, #5, #13 & #14

To: Erica Levis / CRDA

From: Paul Rodrigues, PE
Matthew Baldino, PE, PTOE
Taylor Kapell, PE

Subject: Concourse Park – East Hartford, CT – CRDA Project # 25-005

Date: November 20, 2025

CC: Robert Houlihan, Mark O'Connell

Dear CRDA Team,

The following is in response to the outstanding question #4 from **RFI #2** received on October 28, 2025. A response to the comment is provided below:

4. Reference 5' x 10' x 6' combined meter layout detail on drawing 3.05. Can someone confirm pipe sizing and requirement for interior main and bypass as well as what will be furnished and installed by MDC and what is to be installed by contractor? Also will swing check valve and gate valve after meter pit be required as neither is shown? Please clarify.

The bypass is required for the fire services but is not required for domestic services. The contractor is responsible for all work shown on the 5'x10'x6' Combined Meter Layout Detail on sheet 3.05 except as noted on the detail as "By District."

The following is in response to **RFI #5** received on October 29, 2025. A response to each comment is provided below:

1. On drawing 2.52.2 there is a 1" copper water service going to the trash and maintenance building. In phase 1A on drawing 2.02 for this location it's called out to install an 8" x 4" tee. On drawing 2.51.2 in phase 1B we are installing a 6' x 4' x 6' meter pit for this 1" service. Is all this necessary for the 1" service for the maintenance building? Could the 1" line tap off the 4" domestic service to the Allison or Leon building??? Or does it need it's own meter? If so is that large of a vault necessary? Please clarify.

The 1" copper water service for the trash and maintenance building can tap off the 4" domestic service to the Allison building. Drawings 2.02, 2.51.2 and 2.52.2 have been updated to reflect the necessary design changes.

The following is in response to **RFI #13** received on November 10, 2025. A response to the comment is provided below:

1. On drawing 4.01.1, Add/Alt Phase 1B Site Plan, there is a reference to the Gate House finish plan by Adele Rakower Interiors. Please confirm/clarify that this scope is part of Jasko Development as these drawings are not provided in the bid package.

Confirmed, the scope on the Gate House Finish Plan by Adele Rakower Interiors is part of the Jasko Development scope and is not part of the CRDA Bid Scope.

The following is in response to **RFI #14** received on November 17, 2025. A response to the comment is provided below:

1. Can you please get a few items clarified about the concrete details on drawing 3.01 for me. First the concrete sidewalk detail calls out gray English slate textured random ashlar pattern. Is it really the intention to have all the sidewalks stamped??? Please clarify.

The sidewalks are not intended to be stamped. The Concrete Sidewalk Detail on sheet 3.01 has been updated to remove the pattern callout. Additionally, the sidewalk has been updated from 4" thick to 5" thick.

2. Second, reference the flush curb @ pavement detail which will occur @ every handicapped ramp. Do we really want to extend the concrete 2' 6" under the pavement?? We feel this will crack the asphalt in these areas?? Please clarify.

Noted. The curbing details on sheet 3.01 have been revised to remove the 2'-6" extent of concrete below the pavement.